



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,621	06/05/2002	Albrecht Goecke	449122021700	3437
25227	7590	12/01/2006	EXAMINER NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT 2618	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,621	GOECKE ET AL.	
	Examiner Tuan H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/19/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 09/19/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Jacobs, Paul, E. et al. (International Publication Number WO 98/34203 hereinafter, "Jacobs").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobs, Paul, E. et al. (International Publication Number WO 98/34203 hereinafter, "Jacobs").

Consider claim 1, Jacobs teaches a telecommunications terminal (12), comprising: a memory device to store user-specific data (see figs. 1 and 2 page 7 lines 16-23); an input device (26) having an output (24) connected to the memory device, to input the user-specific data into the memory device (page 8 lines 9-12); a transmission device having an input connected to the memory device, to transmit the user-specific data to another subscriber in a telecommunications network, the memory device and the transmission device are configured to store at least one string of digits and to transmit the string while a connection to the other subscriber exists (page 7 line 24 through page 8 line 18); and an actuation device to transfer the user-specific data from the memory device to the transmission device while the connection exists (page 7 line 24 through page 8 line 18); an authentication device to protect the user-specific data against unauthorized access (page 8 lines 8-14); and the transmission device has a plurality of preconfigured transmission units each having an associated transmission

Art Unit: 2618

method, and a selection device to select one or more preconfigured transmission devices (page 7 line 9 through page 8 line 18).

Consider claim 2, Jacobs further teaches the input device comprises digit keys (page 7 lines 38-39).

Consider claims 3, Jacobs further teaches the input device comprises a microphone, and a voice memory or voice processing device is connected downstream of the microphone (page 9 lines 20-31).

Consider claims 4, Jacobs further teaches the input device and/or the actuation device have menu guidance (page 7 lines 24-37).

Consider claim 5, Jacobs further teaches the authentication device comprises input, comparison and storage units authenticate by password (read on secret number), PIN or biometric data (page 8 lines 12-18).

Consider claim 7, Jacobs further teaches the transmission device has voice transmission unit (page 9 lines 20-26).

Consider claim 9, Jacobs further teaches the selection device has menu guidance (page 7 lines 24-37).

Consider claim 11, Jacobs further teaches the transmission device has an associated encryption unit to encrypt the user-specific data before and/or during transmission (page 8 lines 8-18).

Consider claim 12, Jacobs further teaches the terminal is a mobile telephone (page 6 lines 27-32).

Consider claim 13, Jacobs further teaches the transmission device is configured to transmit the user-specific data via an IP network and has a web browser (page 14 lines 33-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs in view of Mark (U.S PAT. 5,825,871).

Consider claim 6, Jacobs teaches a telecommunications terminal to store user-specific data and transmitting the specific data to the other subscriber.

Jacobs does not explicitly show that the transmission device has multifrequency transmission unit.

In the same field of endeavor, Mark teaches the transmission device has multifrequency transmission unit (col. 34 lines 7-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, the transmission device has multifrequency transmission unit, as taught by Mark, in order to provide an information storage device for storing and outputting personal identification information.

Consider claim 10, Mark further teaches the memory device is a multi-area memory to store a plurality of strings of digits in the memory areas, which can each be accessed using the actuation device (col. 38 lines 17-22).

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs in view of heinonen et al. (U.S PAT. 5,887,266 hereinafter, "Heinonen").

Consider claim 8, Jacobs teaches a telecommunications terminal to store user-specific data and transmitting the specific data to the other subscriber.

Jacobs does not explicitly show that the transmission device has a data fax, SMS, or USSD transmission unit.

Art Unit: 2618

In the same field of endeavor, Heinonen teaches the transmission device has a data fax, SMS, or USSD transmission unit (col. 2 line 56 through col. 3 line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, the transmission device has a data fax, SMS, or USSD transmission unit, as taught by Heinonen, in order to provide a mobile station equipped with a suitable application module the user can make payments in a shop using his/her credit account (credit card application) or pay directly from his/her bank account (cash card application), and thus no real cash is needed.

8. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs in view of Kohda et al. (U.S PUB. 2001/0011299 hereinafter, "Kohda").

Consider claim 14, Jacobs teaches a telecommunications terminal to store user-specific data and transmitting the specific data to the other subscriber.

Jacobs does not explicitly show that the input device and/or the memory device are held in a supplementary module, which is connected via an interface with data capacity, for a telephone, having a separate housing:

In the same field of endeavor, Kohda teaches the input device and/or the memory device are held in a supplementary module, which is connected via an interface with data capacity, for a telephone, having a separate housing (see fig. 2 page 5 [0095]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, the input device and/or the memory device are held in a supplementary module, which is connected via an interface with data capacity, for a telephone, having a separate housing, as taught by Kohda, in order to configure to store service selection information identifying at least one of the separate services accessed by the receiving apparatus.

Consider claim 15, Kohda further teaches the supplementary module has a digit or alphanumeric keypad or a touch screen (page 7 [0124]).

Conclusion

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

Art Unit: 2618

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571) 272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 2618

Quochien B. Vuong
QUOCHIEN B. VUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/27/08